

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
Acting United States Attorney
SAM STEFANKI
Assistant United States Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 554-2700
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHALONER SAINTILLUS,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-CR-00213-KJM

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION
REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS
UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED]
FINDINGS AND ORDER

DATE: October 18, 2021
TIME: 9:00 a.m.
COURT: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller

Plaintiff United States of America (the “government”), by and through its counsel of record, and defendant Chaloner Saintillus (who refers to himself as Shalam Ali El Bey), by and through his counsel of record, hereby provide a joint status report and stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on October 18, 2021.
2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status conference until November 1, 2021, and to exclude time between October 18, 2021, and November 1, 2021, under Local Codes A and T4.
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) The government has produced or made available for inspection and copying more than 1,300 pages of discovery, including numerous image files and investigative reports.
 - b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review discovery, and otherwise prepare for trial.

c) The defendant is presently in the custody of the Attorney General at or in transit from Metropolitan Detention Center-Los Angeles (“MDC-LA”), where he was housed for the purpose of undergoing a mental competency examination previously ordered by the Court. Defense counsel’s ability to communicate with her client has been curtailed due to the defendant’s custodial status at MDC-LA. For this reason, counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The government does not object to such a continuance.

d) The Federal Bureau of Prisons recently sent a letter to the Court providing an update on the evaluation of the defendant’s competency. ECF No. 53. In that letter, the Bureau of Prisons evaluator indicated the following: (i) the defendant’s evaluation period is complete, (ii) the U.S. Marshals Services is aware that the defendant is eligible to be transferred back to the Eastern District of California, and (iii) the evaluator’s written report on the defendant’s competency should now be complete. *See* ECF No. 53. However, counsel for the defendant and for the government have not yet been provided with a copy of the evaluator’s report.

e) So far as the parties are aware, the defendant has yet to return to this district. On or around October 12, 2021, counsel for the government inquired with the U.S. Marshals Service regarding the status of the defendant’s transfer back to the Eastern District of California, but this inquiry did not yield any new information to provide to the Court beyond what is outlined in this stipulation. Hence, counsel for the defendant and for the government believe that an additional two-week continuance would be appropriate.

f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 18, 2021, to November 1, 2021, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant’s request on the

basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 18, 2021, through November 1, 2021, inclusive, is also deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A) [Local Code A] because it results from proceedings to determine the mental competency of the defendant.

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: October 14, 2021

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ SAM STEFANKI
SAM STEFANKI
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: October 14, 2021

/s/ MIA CRAGER
MIA CRAGER
Counsel for Defendant
CHALONER SAINTILLUS,
aka SHALAM ALI EL BEY

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this ____ day of October, 2021.

THE HONORABLE KIMBERLY J. MUELLER
Chief United States District Judge